



CASE 33379 US-PCT

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Kathleen Mahoney
Type or print name

Kathleen Mahoney
Signature

December 12, 2008
Date

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

Art Unit: 4133

Rault et al.

Examiner: Nissa M. Westerberg

APPLICATION NO: 10/572,687

FILED: August 8, 2006

FOR: Coated Tablets

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY AND AMENDMENT

Sir:

This is in response to an Office Action dated September 12, 2008.

Amendments to the Claims:

Claims 1-8 are canceled and replaced by new claims 9-15, which appear on page 7.
The canceled and new claims are related as follows: **1-6** → 9-14; **7&8** → 15-16.

REMARKS

The abstract is objected-to because the Examiner states that it should not refer to speculative merits and the title is objected to because it is not descriptive. Both bases of objection are traversed. MPEP 608.01(b) states that the purpose of abstract is to enable the public to quickly determine the gist of the disclosure. The present abstract does exactly that. No amendment is required. The words of the title are found verbatim in claim 1 (now claim 9). Nothing more descriptive is required, the Examiner's stylistic choice of alternative language notwithstanding.